Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	App	lication No.	Applicant(s)
	10/6	668,820	ORTEGA ET AL.
Lammer-muated milerview Summi	Exa	miner	Art Unit
	Den	nis H. Pedder	3612
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) <u>Dennis H. Pedder</u> .	ı	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Tak</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 August 2005</u>	Ti	me: <u>afternoon</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	_ ••	epresentative)	,
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1,5, 9  Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			DIOQUIQOES
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL N	NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sometime directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sometime did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The exa eparate record	miner will provide a writt I of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (	Applicant/Appl	icant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the lack of showing under Rule 83 for the offset from the bottom trailing edges, a feature not deemed to be necessary to allowability. Mr. Tak authorized deletion of same. The claims are allowable as set forth in the applicant's remarks regarding the plate width and function.